	Case 2:12-cr-01777-SRB Documen	t 16 Filed 10/19/12 Page 1 of 6
1	wo	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9		
10	United States of America,) No. CR-12-1777-PHX-FJM (LOA)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION
12	vs.)
13)
14	Jose Maurilo Estrada-Lona,)
15	Defendant.))
16		
17)
18	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as	
19	applicable.)	
20		vidence Defendant is a danger to the community
21	and require the detention of Defendant p	•
22	by a preponderance of the require the detention of Defendant pend	evidence Defendant is a serious flight risk and ling trial in this case
23		
24	PART I F	FINDINGS OF FACT
25	(1) Defendant has been	n convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local
26	offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal	
27	jurisdiction had existed) that is	inad in 10 H C C & 2156(a)(4)
28	a crime of violence as defi	ined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).

Case 2:12-cr-01777-SRB Document 16 Filed 10/19/12 Page 2 of 6 1 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. 2 an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more 3 is prescribed in 4 a felony that was committed after Defendant had been convicted of two or 5 more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable 6 state or local offenses. 7 (2) The offense described in finding 1 was committed while Defendant 8 was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. 9 A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of Defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 10 No. 1. 11 **(4)** Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that 12 no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of other person(s) and the community. I further find that Defendant has not rebutted this 13 presumption. **Alternative Findings** 14 15 There is probable cause to believe that Defendant has committed an (1) 16 offense 17 for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is 18 prescribed in 19 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) 20 21 П (2) Defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 22 23 ¹ Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) 24 Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a). 25 ² Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) 26 Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of

Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

27

28

^{- 2 -}

Case 2:12-cr-01777-SRB Document 16 Filed 10/19/12 Page 3 of 6

1	No. 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the	
2	appearance of Defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
3	Alternative Findings	
4	(1) There is a serious risk that Defendant will flee; no condition or	
5	combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of Defendant as required.	
6	(2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the	
7	safety of others and the community.	
8	(3) There is a serious risk that Defendant will (obstruct or attempt to	
9	obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).	
10	□ (4)	
11		
12		
13		
14	(Check one or both, as applicable.)	
15	(1) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the	
16	hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	
17		
18		
19	(2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:	
20	Defendant is not a citizen of the United States.	
21	Defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States	
22	illegally.	
23	If released herein, Defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau	
24	of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.	
25		
26	³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the	
27	presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). <i>See</i> , 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to consider for detention.	
28	- 3 -	

Case 2:12-cr-01777-SRB Document 16 Filed 10/19/12 Page 4 of 6

1 2	Defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
3	Defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
5	Defendant has a prior criminal history.	
6	Defendant lives and works in Mexico.	
7	Defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in then United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
8	There is a record of Defendant's prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
9	Defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
11	Defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	
12	incarceration and a maximum of	
13		
14 15	Defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:	
16		
17		
18 19	In addition:	
20		
21		
22	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretriel Corriege Agency	
23	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency	
24		
25	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
26	IT IS ORDERED that Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney	
27		
28	- 4 -	

General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. Defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver Defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/ reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), Fed.R.Crim.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have **fourteen (14) days** from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the assigned district judge. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. Rule 59(a), Fed.R.Crim.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is considered, it is defense counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the

hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian. DATED this 18th day of October, 2012. United States Magistrate Judge

Case 2:12-cr-01777-SRB Document 16 Filed 10/19/12 Page 6 of 6